

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTOINE DESHAWN BARNES,

Plaintiff,

v.

VAN NESS,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cv-00625-NONE-EPG (PC)

ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S
"ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE PER
DISCOVERY MOTIONS, REVIEW
CAMERAS ON COMBINING BOTH
COGNIZABLE CLAIMS ON HAVING A
SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE TODAY...."

(ECF No. 54)

Antoine Barnes ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On December 8, 2021, Plaintiff filed an "Order to Show Cause Per Discovery Motions, Review Cameras on Combining Both Cognizable Claims on Having a Settlement Conference Today in Cases #1:20-CV-00333 BAM #1:20-CV-00625-NONE-EPG 9th Circuit #21-16715." (ECF No. 54). In the filing, Plaintiff summarizes the claims in the cases and asks the Court to set a combined settlement conference. Plaintiff also attaches a prior filing he made in this case, as well as an order to show cause issued by the Ninth Circuit.

As to Plaintiff's request for the Court to set a combined settlement conference, it is DENIED. Barnes v. Blackburn, E.D. CA Case No. 1:20-cv-00333, has been dismissed, and the defendant in this case has indicated that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources

\\

1 at this time (ECF No. 52).¹

2 To the extent Plaintiff intended his filings to be a response to the order to show cause
3 issued by the Ninth Circuit, Plaintiff should make the filings with the Ninth Circuit.

4
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: **December 9, 2021**

/s/ Eric P. Goss
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

¹ While the Court is denying Plaintiff's request, it may set a settlement conference at a later date.